



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24815
Title of the Manuscript:	BASC AND TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION ON POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is not an Original Research Paper but a vade mecum how to construct the ponds for fish production. In my opinion it is a good introduction to a real research paper. The authors should give at least one good example how the real pond was or should be constructed in dependence on different factors. Please select some fish important for Nigeria production and some locality and provide a real example showing the technical, environmental and economic aspects of the pond construction for the selected fish species.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ewa Rembiałkowska
Department, University & Country	Dpt. Functional and Organic Food and Commodities, Warsaw Univ. of Life Sciences, Poland