



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24437
Title of the Manuscript:	Photoelectric Characterization of Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Using Natural Dye from roselle plant (hibiscus safdariffa) as Photosensitizer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- All graphs are of poor quality (simple MS Excel auto-generated plots do NOT look professional). Also, the axes are not capitalized and seem sloppy. These should be redone to look more professional. - Authors should address the CI in the EDX. - The authors should include the following reference: "Synthesis of Co-Electrospun Lead Selenide Nanostructures within Anatase Titania Nanotubes for Advanced Photovoltaics." <i>Fibers</i> 3.2 (2015): 173-183. DOI: 10.3390/fib3020173. - Line 63 should have a space between bottom of paragraph and section title. - Figure 4a is of poor quality, and should be replaced. Also, why is it 4a and not simply 4? This should be updated.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evan K. Wujcik
Department, University & Country	Department of Chemical Engineering, Lamar University, USA