SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_24383**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Business Intelligence Readiness Issues for Higher Learning Institution (IHL): Preliminary Study and Research Model**
Type of the Article	**Review paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Research methodology should be explained clearly, explain type of qualitative techniques used for the study. 2. The result are presented well in the study but the paper lacks discussion section. The findings of the study should be discussed with relevant findings of previous literature. The conclusion section lacks the proper discussion and findings from the study. 3. Rework on conclusion with more details. 4. Managerial implication is required.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Neha Gupta**
Department, University & Country	**Marketing Department, K. J. Somaiya Institute of Management Studies & Research, India**