



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24007
Title of the Manuscript:	ISAC'S CONES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author is kindly asked to point out his personal contribution. Otherwise, the present work seems to be a review - paper. Some of the assertions are not proved, although they are not trivial. If a statement is well-known, the appropriate reference should be cited. Otherwise, the sketch of the proof would be welcome.	
Minor REVISION comments	P.3: the same letter B is used for a subset of X and for a subset of $Spec(X)$. P.4, line 2: please write "with" instead of "cu". P.4, line 2: free space between "set" and τ . P.4: how could lead "the applications of such as these cones..." to the conclusion that the full nuclear cones are similar and generalizations of Bishop-Phelps cones in normed vector spaces? Please change the structure of this phrase. The cones mentioned above are similar or are generalizations? In the latter case, a related proof is necessary. P.5: delete the second " = " sign. P.5, point 5: the definition of "weakly locally compact convex cone" would be welcome. P.5, point 8: the assertions about weak convergence to zero but not in the norm topology should be proved. P.5: what is the meaning of $(A_n) = 1$? (probably $ A_n = length(A_n) = 1$)? P.6, line 2, the definition of C_p : what is the meaning of " $x_n \in 0$ for all $n \dots$ "? The same problem appears, at the same page, in the definition of $C_2 \subset l^2$. P.6, line 2 from below: the power $\frac{1}{2}$ is outside the parenthesis of the integral. P.7, point 11: "...weak star compact base" is used, but not defined. P.7, point 15: delete the sign " appearing in the definition of K . Please use justify for the aligned writing of the paper.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Octav Olteanu
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics-Informatics, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania