



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23803
Title of the Manuscript:	Design analysis and Fabrication of a Tensile Creep Testing Machine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A photograph of the manufactured tensile creep testing machine needs to be presented with the legends. 2. In Abstract, several numerical values should be deleted. 3. At the end of Introduction, the authors should clearly mention about the main different points between the proposed machine and commercially available machine. This is a kind of technical contribution of this work. 4. The authors asserted in Conclusion that the proposed machine is cost effective. This statement needs to be more specified. Please clarify the ethical issues if any. 	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seung-Bok Choi
Department, University & Country	Inha University, South Korea