



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23442
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Approach for Automatic Human Recognition Based on Retinal Blood Vessels Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The conclusion of the manuscript is too short for such a long article and it is necessary to extend it substantially. In all formulas, expressions that can take some values, would be written in italic manner in mathematical text. Article has a good structure and its content is interesting. It will have a publishable form after minor adjustments.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Why is the "new" with a small letter "n" in the title of the paper? Sources used are not listed below the table and figures, for example: "Source: own research". The formula (4) has its number directly beside itself. No gap is between the number of chapter 3.3 and its title.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diana Bilkova
Department, University & Country	Department of Statistics and Probability, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic