

Reviewer's Comment:

I've read the revised manuscript and authors' comments. It is better than the original but I still have doubts whether it is suitable to be published.

First of all, the English language did not improve. For example the sentence "In the figures 2, 3 and 4 show the simulation result of MCNP4C, The curves of neutron flux verses energy for different thickness." is simply wrong. The authors tried to answer one of my comments but did not formulated it correctly. The next problematic issue is the conclusion. The authors did respond to my comment but they just changed the sentence, and now they claim that GEANT4 and MCNP4C produce different results, but they do not comment it, or try to give an explanation, or something... The researcher should try to explain the difference.

Anyway, i believe that the article is not publishable, but if you decide to publish it I strongly suggests that publisher's language expert should polish it. And also not to publish it as original research article. The manuscript has around 1200 words, which is not appropriate even for a short research article. Maybe, as a note.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kresimir Trontl
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia