



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19827
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation of low energy neutron shielding by GEANT4 and MCNP4C cod
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In order to the paper to be accepted for publication, authors are required to introduce the problem more carefully by presenting the real problem, the physics behind and then discuss the computational aspects, otherwise, the paper is too short and it is difficult to recognize where are novalties. I look forward to read the revised version.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	El-Nabulsi Ahmad Rami
Department, University & Country	Department of Nuclear Engineering, Cheju National University, South Korea