



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_18287
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Characterization and Antibiotic Resistance Profile of Bacteria Associated with <i>Brycinus longipinnis</i> from Eggua Station on Yewa River.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Here as per my understanding authors have tried to screen microflora in different tissues of <i>Brycinus longipinnis</i> by 16srRNA amplification and sequencing and antibiotic resistance. Work seems to be a good basic study but poorly represented. Introduction is completely irrelevant to the content and need to be written based on the content of experiment. Material n Methods: as per my understanding morphometry and water quality parameters are not directly related to the essence of research and are unnecessary additions and can be removed. Author should focus on the content of experiment as per given in abstract. Results are not discussed properly. Table no three is making no meaning. % of similarity comparison with what? Description of results based on BLAST analysis needs to be rewritten. There are major grammatical revisions needed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are many grammatical mistakes that needs to be corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is good and have publication potential but after revision.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Megha Kadam Bedekar
Department, University & Country	Aquatic Animal Health, Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Mumbai, India