SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_10869**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Microfossils and Paleoenvironment of the Limestone-Shale Sequences of Gboko Formation, Middle Benue Trough, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	***Original Research Article***

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Introduction is not enough and should be covers the importance of the study through relevant reviewed literatures 2. The Biostratigraphic Studies is a part of the materials and methods (the study area as the first section of materials and methods) and it is better moved to "Materials and Methods" 3. p.4 line 75 giving a 2.1. the study area (or description of study area) and rearrange above and Figure 2 in this part 4. p.4, line 94; explain the method for recognition foraminifera from Ostracods (how did recognized from each other) 5. complete and clear that Fig. 7 is driven from this study or literature 6. p. 13, line 417; Do you have a COMPETING INTERESTS? If no, remove this section, if yes only give short and concise sentence/s and avoid copy sample template **7. p. 14, line 434; what is CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)**	
Minor REVISION comments	p. 2, line 37; mention Figure 1 before Figure 2 p.3, written legend of both Figures 1 and 2 is not clear, please bold them p.4, line 72; **MATERIAL AND METHODS** is enough p.4, line 99; the number (17) only write numbering or alphabetic p. 12, lines 372-378, Tables 1 and 2 should be presented before Fig. 7 and 8 and their respective titles revised as	

	follows: **the foraminifera recovered in Percent Genera summarizing the Paleoenvironment and probable age of the imestone-Shale bed**	
Optional/General comments	p. 4, line 112, give name or model of petrographic microscope in the brackets p6, lines 164-165; Fig. 3 A Table and Fig. B is a plot, please consider that, in addition, Fig. A, should be cleared and bolded p6, line 173Fig. 4 is also a plot, please consider (Fig. 5 and 7 like to other Fig.)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mosayeb heshmati**
Department, University & Country	**Agriculture and Natural Research Center, Kermanshah, Iran**