SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_30033**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Field Experiments on Hydraulic Jump in a Sloped Triangular Channel**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 31, change "47o and 60o" to "47° and 60°", also in line 34, line 46, line 50. Line 31, change "The results showed, as a general rule, the" to "The results showed, as a general rule, **that** the" In the discussion section you should mention the advantage of your model against Debabeche model (2009) I recommend adding in the conclusion section something like, "these results are important for…" or "those results may be applied to…"	
Optional/General comments	Line 32, I suggest to add the name of the variable "Y of the jump" example "Y (sequent depth ratio) of the jump". I suggest to do the same for all variables when they are mentioned the first time. Line 51, I suggest to remove the "of" from "any of hydraulic jump" Line 106, I recommend to add "this may be due to **the fact that** his model requires"	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**David Salas-Monreal**
Department, University & Country	**Universidad Veracruzana, Mexico**