UNDER PEER REVIEW

Original Research Article

ANALYSIS OF FORECASTING METHODS AS A TOOL FOR INFORMATION STRUCTURING IN SCIENCE RESEARCH

ABSTRACT

Aims: This paper is devoted to the issue of building a knowledge base which can be used in intellectual decision support systems (DSS) for science research into problem solution methods. It was necessary to study forecasting methods to identify a general approach to information structuring and choose the most efficient and approximated to natural intelligence method.
Study design: logical experiment well-known individual intuition methods and methods of collective expert estimations for choosing the most efficient and approximated to natural intelligence method.
Place and Duration of Study: Department of Information Systems and programming of the Kuban State Technological University, was undertaken with financial support from the Russian Foundation for Humanities Research (Project # 16-03-00382 as of 18th February 2016 issue: 'Monitoring of research activities of educational institutions in the information society'), between 20th February 2016 and 10th April 2016.
Methodology: For this, well-known individual intuition methods and methods of collective expert estimations have been studied, their advantages and disadvantages emphasized, ways of knowledge presentation, as well as initial information and information on the situation to be studied and simulated reviewed. The methods have been compared with those used by natural intelligence.
Results: The data collected as a result of logical experiment are presented consecutively in figures. It was also found out that the more formalized the method is, the farther it is from natural intelligence and the readier for implementation by modern methods and means of computing equipment.
Conclusion: So these methods are not suitable for the use in intellectual DSSs of such kind. This paper suggests that the authors' development - intelligence enhancement method - should be used to structure science research information and its problems in a specific way.

Keywords: analysis of forecasting methods, intelligence enhancement, information structuring, natural intelligence, science research into problem solution tasks in a particular area of studies

1. INTRODUCTION

Planning and forecasting for various kinds of managerial activity [1-5] are known to be the most popular areas for practical implementation of modern decision support systems (DSS). Therefore, the presentation manner of knowledge base (KB), i.e. information structuring [6-10], will largely depend on the proposed problem solution method in a particular DSS. Thus, a common trend in approaching solution and its implementation in modern DSS is determined.

In pursuit of development a KB for scientific research in the specified area of study by means of proper methods of problem solution, it was necessary to study all known forecasting methods in order to identify a common approach to information structuring.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS / EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS / METHODOLOGY

2.1 Methods of study

Logical experiment, mental simulation of the forecasting methods, inductive and deductive methods.

2.2. Research organization

Logical experiment was carried out by means of individual intuition methods and collective expert estimations methods. Mental simulation of the forecasting methods allowed to identify a general approach to information structuring and to choose the most efficient and approximated to natural

UNDER PEER REVIEW

intelligence method. New properties of the methods, their advantages and disadvantages, were identified by authors by the use of inductive and deductive methods. The data collected as a result of logical experiment are presented consecutively in figures. Such representation of the data allowed revealing that the more formalized method is, the farther it is from natural intelligence and the readier for implementation by modern methods and means of computing equipment. So known methods are not suitable for intellectual DSSs used in science research. Such organization of the research made it possible to identify that our development - intelligence enhancement method - should be used to structure science research information and its problems in a special way.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Comparing known forecasting methods

Below are given concise overviews of operational algorithms for each forecasting method.

3.1.1 Individual intuition methods

Method of interview is known to provide feedback to the questions stated according to the objective of the study by means of an interview between an interviewer and a respondent. Its advantage is the ability to withdraw and utilize information (or knowledge) already past the cognition stage at the respondent's natural intelligence. The disadvantages here are: small scope of knowledge, information is not structured.

Method of scenario modeling allows for describing logically a consecutive process, event, etc, on the basis of the current situation. The scenario here is a picture reflecting a consecutive detailed task solution, a detection of serious drawbacks to make a preliminary decision about possible ceasing or completion of work on the projected object. To be precise, the scenario is an accumulator of the initial information on the basis of which all work on the projected object is to be carried out.

The advantages of this method seem to be the following. It makes possible detailed presentation of initial information on the projected object and the plan of work with it. Thus, the information on the researched object is fairly well structured, which enables better understanding the situation and selecting a suitable research method. When solving forecasting problems by natural intelligence (NI), the given method may be similar to drawing up schematic charts on paper in order to structure information on the researched situation. For instance, a schematic chart of a driver's behavior in different circumstances when following appropriate traffic rules which makes it possible for a driver or a pedestrian to understand how to behave in a certain situation. So, the method of scenario modeling enables one fairly accurately to automate the process of information structuring about the researched object by NI.

Its disadvantages are also obvious. The choice of solution method is subjective as it is based on individual or group experience and does not cover all possible solution options currently known. This method does represent further interconnection with the information on solution methods, which is typical for NI when solving such forecasting problems, yet it is incomplete as it is based only on the individual experience. However, there are plenty of theoretical developments for solving problems of the kind, which might be unknown to the individual, yet far more efficient.

Method of psycho-intellectual idea generation is a method of individualized expert estimation at which the latter is performed by means of programme management which includes access to human memory or mass storage device of PC.

The analysis of this method reveals the following advantages. When addressing human memory psycho-intellectual methods are used, which evoke such associations which help individual obtain from their NI necessary information on solution methods in various areas of specifically structured knowledge. For this, special techniques are used to enhance intelligence. Thus, ideas are generated. This method tends to be more effective when the individual uses special techniques for memorizing information (i.e. information structuring) based on the associations, in other words, on the associative memory. It is obvious that the application of methods structuring information to remember and the techniques improving memorizing makes it possible not only to obtain high quality test data for

UNDER PEER REVIEW

artificial intelligence (AI), but improve immensely your own NI and become an outstanding expert in a particular area.

In addition, the given method helps to identify an important tool of NI in search of necessary option or, when selecting the necessary alternative out of all currently available alternatives. As is known, any scientific problem is gradually specified in the course of its solving and the most suitable solution is selected in the end. Thus, the search for problem solution among the knowledge structured at NI in a specific way occurs simultaneously with specifying the knowledge about the object of study. It is important to bear in mind that in NI the very knowledge about solution methods, which is specially structured, sets the direction for problem solution. Indeed, if a method for solving such problems exists, then it is sure to be found among other known methods where it occupies its own place in the structured memory of NI. NI recognizes the needed method by means of associations used for memorizing. Then, if the direct solution is missing, NI shall offer options for adaptation of the initial information on the researched object to the required kind which will make it possible to apply “the most suitable” method. And if the “most suitable” method is missing, the information on existing techniques of designing a new (unknown beforehand) method of solution is to be used.

Thus, the given method is in fact a simulator of natural solution search mechanism at NI. With the application of the given method all search functions remain with the NI respondent, and along with the implementation of psycho-intellectual techniques which help the respondent work with their NI and propose different ideas, various tools of computing equipment are used. They are also used for assessing the ideas and working with the obtained empirical data.

Disadvantages of this method have become obvious too. The mentioned techniques are still used for retrieving successful ideas from NI. Techniques of information (knowledge) structuring for problem solution methods have neither been developed, nor used in AI yet. NI functional capabilities and performance peculiarities have not been yet implemented in AI either. They were designated indirectly in discussing this method:

- the search for problem solution among the knowledge structured at NI in a specific way occurs simultaneously with specifying the knowledge about the object of study;
- in NI the very knowledge about solution methods, which is specially structured, sets the direction for the problem solution;
- NI recognizes the needed method by the associations used for memorizing;
- if the direct solution is missing, NI shall offer options for adaptation of the initial information on the researched object to the required kind which will make it possible to apply “the most suitable” method;
- if the “most suitable” method is missing, the information on existing techniques of designing a new (unknown beforehand) method of solution is to be used.

3.1.2 Collective expert estimations

The given methods enhance the efficiency of NI of one respondent by increasing the number of participants there.

The first one to mention here is the method of panel counseling. Experts are invited to open discussions in order to develop a coordinated decision on the issue of consideration. The following advantages have been revealed here. It seems to be quite efficient as the expertise of participants is increasingly building up on condition that they regularly attend those discussions. A positive atmosphere of such panel meetings facilitates a better engagement of the experts’ potential. Yet, there are disadvantages too. The personal confidence in their rightness, infallibility prevents experts from arriving at a solely correct decision.

The method of collective generation (“brainstorming” technique) uses the following algorithm. A problem is stated, participants in order of their ranks speak out any possible ideas (even absurd), the leader takes them down, and the panel selects the most interesting. The ideas undergo changes in the process of discussion. The obvious advantages of this method are in the following. Expertise from different areas of knowledge is combined here which makes it possible to find new, more interesting solutions. A favourable atmosphere facilitates finding options. Om the other hand, the method has its

UNDER PEER REVIEW

own drawbacks: the structured knowledge is still located at NI of the participants; these data are not yet structured in AI and are not implemented by means of PC. The human factor is present – ambitions, fear of bosses at discussion.

Delphi method represents a combination of surveys, interviews, brainstorming meetings. It is used in strategic planning. It allows arriving at the maximum consensus in making a decision. The results are further processed by statistical methods. It is devoid of negative group influence through engaging independent experts. The method appears to be effective on condition that individual estimations of qualified experts are correctly generalized and processed, enabling to achieve a collective opinion with high degree of accuracy and reliability. Nevertheless, it is not devoid of its own shortcomings. Too much time is spent on the analysis. The result is also influenced by interpersonal and individual factors.

The method of forecasting based on matrices with vertex values of the projected object graph-model is known as matrix method. The matrices undergo further transformation and operating. Its efficiency is best proved at panel forecasting of large systems, where a large data massif is to be processed to research on the issue of consideration. Yet, there is a need for coordination in separate elements forecasts, identifying and forecasting the main relations between them. It is necessary to detect the cross-influence of the system events which complicates the studies and the algorithm.

3.2 Discussion

To identify a general approach to information structuring, it was necessary to study the above-given methods used for forecasting most frequently.

3.2.1 Individual intuition methods

The analysis of individual intuition methods revealed the following advantages and disadvantages.

Interview method can be used for copying structured information from natural intelligence of the subject into artificial intelligence as similar to the original as possible. It is also necessary to find out the methods of information structuring utilized by the subject to find a solution method.

Scenario modeling method is the most efficient for structuring information on the projected object (the closest to NI in terms of the research process or method of study). The structured in this way information can be successfully used for further choosing a solution method from all specially structured knowledge about all solution methods (the closest to NI way of representing information on all solution methods and choice of “the most suitable” of them). It also makes it possible to structure the obtained personal expertise (results, ways of building scenarios, the scenarios themselves and so on) after the use of this method for its further application which will increase the efficiency of AI.

Method of psycho-intellectual idea generation demonstrates a successful application of psycho-intellectual techniques to get ideas for scientific problems solution, proves availability and efficiency of certain memorizing techniques (information structuring) in NI, as well as dependent on them information retrieval methods. Special training on memorizing improvement is necessary to become a highly qualified specialist. It also proves it why the level of the expert directly depends on training quality, ability and will to learn information. Such training may produce an expert with most efficiently structured knowledge in his/her NI. So, to obtain the best AI, most approximated to NI in operating, it is necessary to use in it structured knowledge about solution methods along with suitable methods of choice from this structure.

This method visualizes the way the NI searches for the problem solution among the knowledge specifically structured there, along with specifying the information on the object of study, which proves the necessity of its utilization in AI, the closest to NI in operating.

3.2.2 Collective expert estimation methods

The analysis of collective expert estimation methods revealed the following advantages and disadvantages.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

First, let us consider the method of panel counseling. Unless panel counseling method is used for psychological idea stimulation and generation, it appears to be ideal for problem solving, where some knowledge is added to another resulting in a problem solution ("two heads are better than one"). In this case one can speak about natural intelligence enhancement.

Next, collective generation method saves time spent on research, as it had been carried out by different people at different times by different methods and has its own parameters of information structuring in NI. The structured information is retrieved, sieved and utilized in a specific form for finding a solution. It is obvious that the NI should first structure information on the issue of consideration, collective structuring being more efficient. It is also obvious that the result of the collective information structuring by NI is an efficient tool for problem solving, a relevant result which can be used in the database of intelligent information system.

Delphi method makes it possible to retrieve structured knowledge by NI in a more comprehensive way, and the results are more reliable. In other words, a combination of methods fights their individual drawbacks, but does not preserve the knowledge retrieved from NI in their structured form.

Matrix method is able to process a huge massif of information on the problem (which is impossible for the NI), but the processing method is different from the NI information structuring method. This makes it possible to identify formalized ways of problem solution and refer to computing equipment (CE). If NI could process as large data massifs, as CE can, then such NI would be more efficient at solving problems of that kind, than the computing machine with the matrix method and incapable of information structuring, the way it can be done by NI.

4. CONCLUSION

Having analyzed the above methods, we have obtained the following results which were summarized in tables in figures 1, 2 and 3.

THE DEGREE OF FORECASTING METHOD APPROXIMATION TO NATURAL INTELLIGENCE (NI) DEPENDING ON FORMALIZATION

The more formalized the method is, the farther it is from NI and closer to implementing by methods and means of computing equipment

NI ==========▶ AI

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Individual intuition:	*Collective expert estimations:*	*Methods of projected extrapolation:*	*Modeling methods:*
- Interview; - Scenario modeling; - Psycho-intellectual idea generation.	- Method of panel counseling; - Method of collective generation; - "Delphi" method; - Matrix method.	- OLS; - Exponential smoothing; - Moving averages; - Adaptive antialiasing; - Autoregressive transformation; - Harmonic balance.	- Structural; - Web-based; - Matrix; - Immitating.
Really close to NI, but it is impossible to verify the path of result attainment		***The choice of options by means of well-known mathematical methods which can be mapped to other known methods and means of computing equipment.***	

Individual intuition method of interview	Misrepresentation №1	Problems:	Misrepresentation №2
Structuring information on solution methods and comprehension are performed by NI, but the retrieval of knowledge to use in AI systems	*Information* is *not structured yet* and is in arbitrary form.	- how to present, process and use this information(knowledge) in the best way ; - how to improve information collection accuracy, its sufficiency (completeness). **Solution:** - to introduce specific definitions for the knowledge and information required to be used in AI which will allow to use other methods; - *to apply methods of collective expert estimations*	*The knowledge* used in *AI* is now *different from that of in NI* in presentation structure and basic definitions.
1	2	3	4

Fig. 1. Forecasting methods becoming more distant from NI depending on their formalization degree – stages from 1 to 4

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Problems:	Misrepresentation №3	Problems:	Misrepresentation №4
- the results obtained by AI have to be trusted without further explanation of how, what and why; - human element influencing opinions of experts at collective expert estimations. **Solution:** - to change the approach to a forecasting problem solution (solving by NI on paper) which will make use of mathematical methods, for instance, in optimization or statistics; - *to apply method of* scenario modeling.	***AI structures information on a situation,*** process and so on which are under study. Methods known to AI are further selected to solve the problem of study. However, in ***NI*** the opposite takes place – ***knowledge of solution methods is specifically structured,*** and, depending on the situation, a suitable ***method is chosen following special memorizing (structuring) rules*** .	- in adequacy at solution method choosing; - small number of options; - information representation does not allow to use more complex algorithms, structures and methods to solve forecasting problems (choice of alternative). **Solution:** - application of methods of psycho-intellectual idea generation, as well as collective expert estimations; - ***application*** **of neural network and formalized methods** of forecasting problem solution.	***The choice of alternatives in AI is performed by algorithms which differ from the rules of memorizing*** (structuring) ***information in NI, but similar to the algorithms of finding alternatives used for mathematical methods,*** for instance, optimization, statistics and so on.
5	6	7	8

Problems (Ten "hot spots" in the AI studies (Pospelov, D.A. (1996). Intellektualnye sistemy [Intelligent Systems]. Moscow: MSU.)):
- transition to reasoning ;
- problem of justification;
- generation of explanations;
- search for relevant knowledge;
- text comprehension;
- text synthesis;
- cognitive graphics;
- multi-agent systems;
- network models;
- meta-knowledge.

Solution:
- to approximate AI to NI by providing information on the studied situation, object, process, etc., in the form of neural network, resembling the neural network system performance;
- elimination of drawbacks by perfecting formalized methods, combination of well-known methods and information representation models and implementation in AI.

9

Fig. 2. Forecasting methods becoming more distant from NI depending on their formalization degree – stages from 5 to 9

Misrepresentation №5	Problems:
The information of the studied system, process and so on is stored in AI as the neural network system, approximated in the form to NI. ***However, the NI information of the same level is the structured information, saved on the physiological level, on solution methods to different problems, which is used depending on the rules of structuring (memorizing).*** Thus, such information representation in AI allows achieving accuracy in mathematical calculations, yet one does not succeed in eliminating the above problems and misrepresentations. ***Misrepresentations 3 and 4 occur on the level of information structuring.***	- ***information on solution methods is not structured,*** but in arbitrary form; - ***the knowledge*** used in ***AI differs from that of NI*** in representation structure and basic definitions; - ***AI structures information on a situation,*** process and so on which are under study, and then methods known to AI are further selected to solve the problem of study. However, in ***NI*** the opposite takes place – ***knowledge of solution methods is specifically structured,*** and, depending on the situation, a suitable ***method is chosen following special memorizing (structuring) rules;*** - ***the choice of alternatives in AI*** is performed ***by algorithms which differ from the rules of memorizing*** (structuring) ***information in NI, but similar to the algorithms of finding alternatives used for mathematical methods,*** for instance, optimization, statistics and so on.; - ***the information of the studied system, process and so on is stored in AI as the neural network system,*** approximated in the form to NI. ***However, the NI information of the same level is the structured information, saved on the physiological level, on solution methods to different problems, which is used depending on the rules of structuring (memorizing).*** **Solution:** From the arbitrary form of knowledge of solution methods to be used in AI, ***to go on to structuring information*** which is most approximated to the process in NI.
10	11

Fig. 3. Forecasting methods becoming more distant from NI depending on their formalization degree – stages from 10 to 11

The results make it obvious that the more formalized method is, the farther it is from NI and closer to implementing by modern computing methods. Thus, modern ways of NI implementation incur the following problems (specified in the Figures) in this particular area of science. Therefore, we have chosen the following line of research in the development of modern AI – "challenges related to approximation of specialized AI systems to human possibilities and their integration through human effort in this area (i.e. Intelligence Enhancement (IE) application)" [10].

It is only natural to expect such intellectual Decision Support System (IDSS) to become "an expert" able to prompt the right direction, thereby saving the researcher's time. A researcher's manual effort – his personal pursuit of quality – should not excel that of IDSS. So, the approach to information structuring in IDSS must be similar to that of performed by NI.

Having compared a number of typical forecasting methods, we have found out that they are unsuitable for the use in IDSS of such kind. Therefore, we propose to use Intelligence Enhancement Method (IEM), our development which was publicized in a few papers, for structuring information on

UNDER PEER REVIEW

the area of studies and the problems to be solved thereby in a special way. It is characterized by a fairly high degree of novelty [11].

REFERENCES

Reference to a journal:

1. Asimakopoulos S, Dix A, Fildes R. Using hierarchical task decomposition as a grammar to map actions in context: Application to forecasting systems in supply chain planning. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies. 2011;69(4):234-250.
2. Eto H. The suitability of technology forecasting/foresight methods for decision systems and strategy: A Japanese view. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2003;70(3):231-249.
3. Green KC, Armstrong JS. Simple versus complex forecasting: The evidence. Journal of Business Research. 2015;68(8):1678-1685.
4. Huang A, Qiao H, Wang S. Forecasting Container Throughputs with Domain Knowledge. Procedia Computer Science. 2014;31:648-655.
5. Leitner J, Leopold-Wildburger U. Experiments on forecasting behavior with several sources of information – A review of the literature. European Journal of Operational Research. 2011;213(3):459-469.
6. Nikolopoulos K, Litsa A, Petropoulos F, Bougioukos V, Khammash M. Relative performance of methods for forecasting special events. Journal of Business Research. 2015;68(8):1785-1791.
7. Ortas E, Salvador M, Moneva JM. Improved beta modeling and forecasting: An unobserved component approach with conditional heteroscedastic disturbances. The North American Journal of Economics and Finance. 2015;31:27-51.
8. Raza MQ, Khosravi A. A review on artificial intelligence based load demand forecasting techniques for smart grid and buildings**.** Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2015; 50:1352-1372.
9. Rothschild D. Combining forecasts for elections: Accurate, relevant, and timely. International Journal of Forecasting. 2015;31(3):952-964.
10. Iskusstvennyj intellekt [Artificial intelligent] (2015). Retrieved from http:// https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D0%98%D1%81%D0%BA%D1%83%D1%81%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B2%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%BD%D1%8B%D0%B9_%D0%B8%D0%BD%D1%82%D0%B5%D0%BB%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BA%D1%82.
11. Popova OB, Popov BK, Klyuchko VI. Binarnoe derevo vybora znanija iz oblasti znanija, ispol'zuja sistemu voprosov i otvetov. Teorija i praktika: monografija [Binary tree of knowledge of select areas of knowledge, using a system of questions and answers: Monograph]. Krasnodar: Izdatel'skii Dom – Yug. 2013.