



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9358
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Crude Oil and Amended with Moringa Oleifera

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author (s) needs to approach a senior colleague for grammar review. The author failed to note my comments on the design of the expt. i.e no replication, very small experimental unit, and wrong choice of control. Control expt is the expt'al unit without treatment. Here the treatment is the seed cake. So your control should have been unit without seed cake and not crude oil. I am afraid to make valid conclusion on this work when the experimental setup on its own is faulty. Unless the title of this article is entirely rephrased to remove bioremediation and crude oil Moreso, TPH reduction cannot be determined or evaluated by weight loss etc. You can use quantitative methods earlier mentioned.	We have noted with apology the experimental unit and made the necessary changes(it was actually supposed to be 20kg instead of 2kg) We have also chosen appropriately, the control experiment, as suggested. We just used the weight loss as a means of comparison. We would not mind if you suggested a topic we could adopt, thank you.