



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9358
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Crude Oil and Amended with Moringa Oleifera
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Update of review of literature is essential. Till date many advancement is taken place in bioremediation. Author, though tries to describe his findings in lucidity but I don't find novelty in the paper. The experimental design should be expanded minimum ten with a minimum of three separate assignments. Based on the new data the author has to support his findings with statistical analysis. Besides this the degree of loss of crude oil (light Nigerian oil) in complete degradation/mineralization needs to be supported with different bioassay's and soil biological characters. Moreover, I don't find link between isolation of crude oil degrading bacteria and amendment of <i>M. Oleifera</i>.	Noted. We tried to contribute to advancements in bioremediation in our own little way. We could recommend finding the link between isolation of crude oil degrading bacteria and amendment of <i>M. Oleifera</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NA	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		