SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_9358**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Crude Oil and Amended with Moringa Oleifera**
Type of the Article	**Research**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It is suggested that topic of research need to be modified (see comment). The methodology need to be re-visited and referenced properly! The only table is completely flawed (to be re-organised). Expertise of work done was archaic! Figures used are generally satisfactory. Concept of research is good.**	This has been noted in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	This research would have generated more results if done using modern trend! In-depth statistical analysis is missing! This would have greatly improved on quality of work.	A paired t-test was run on the weight loss (%) of crude oil for samples B and C
Optional/General comments	Scientific reporting style is poor! Improvement is needed.	Noted