



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_40011_A
Title of the Manuscript:	HYGROCHROMIC MATERIALS GENERATED BY MULTILAYER OF ELYTRON OF THE NECROBIA RUFIPES
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author said that in the reference 13, they analyze the scales of the butterfly wings and in the present case, it is the cuticles of Necrobia Rufipes. <u>In both cases they use the same experimental and numerical method, but</u> these two cases are very different. I would say that this manuscript has lost its added value due to the similarity to another article that is already published. I feel this is an important result for this paper; and therefore it merits more attention in its presentation.	I don't think so. The similarity of methods or expression can reduce the quality of my work. Most of the works encountered in literature in the field of Nano-structures derived from bio-morphology, study dry matter. In our case, we studied the dry and wet specimen. This is an important step in the field of Nano-structures. Finally, the results in the two works are very different, because we don't use the same species or genus. This is fundamental. We believe that the presentation of the article is acceptable; it indicates scientific, rational and very rigorous information.