



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30219
Title of the Manuscript:	A numerical procedure of coupled consolidation in saturated soils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please see attached and marked manuscript. The pore water pressure generated due to external loading is "excess pore pressure" developed. Please replace it by "excess pore pressure" instead of just pore pressure.	We have changed to "excess pore pressure" according revisor suggestion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please see attached and marked manuscript. There are several grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript. The attached manuscript is marked with suggested minor corrections and authors are requested to address them all.	The paper has been rewritten, improved and corrected bugs. Special attention was paid to a native speaker of English. In addition, all the suggestions marked in the paper have been taken into account.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please see attached and marked manuscript and address all compulsory and minor revisions.	All the suggestions marked in the paper were considered and corrected.