



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30185
Title of the Manuscript:	Seedling Tolerance of Three Eucalypt Species to Changes in Soil Alkalinity Due to Limestone Addition
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- Add a schematic of experimental protocol, very useful because there are several data treatment for better understanding. - The Tables 4.1; 4.2 and 4.3 can be grouped in one table like Table 4.4 of Leaf chemistry - If it's possible to add a table values to show significant differences between the Three Eucalypt Species to Changes in Soil Alkalinity. (show inter specific variability)	The experimental section has taken more revising. The authors had not agreed to add a schematic because they think that the experiment methodology would be better on this manner The authors accepted the referee comment and the Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 grouped as one Table and labeled Table 1. It 's became clear via grouping tables in one table
Optional/General comments	The subject original and very interesting	The authors appreciate the referee's effort and their all comments that have added more improvements to the manuscript. Thank you all.