



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_29910
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Losses in Distribution Networks of Selected Industrial Cities in Nigeria using ETAP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article has been written in the required format of the journal with non exhaustive literature survey. The topic has been discussed with utmost accuracy and the results obtained are excellent.	We are grateful to the reviewer for so highly commending our article. We thank you for taking your time to meticulously review the article.