



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28163
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF STOCHASTIC LOAD AND HYBRID DISTRIBUTED GENERATION PENETRATION LEVEL ON TRANSIENT STABILITY OF POWER SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Figure 1 is too small to see clearly. 2. How the correlation of wind farms and solar power plants is taken into account? 3. How to consider the uncertainty of Wind farms and solar power plants? 4. How to prove that the article assumed load growth and distribution are realistic, and how to ensure the numerical example of the system stability is the actual stability?	1. The figure is corrected 2. The correlation and fluctuation is not considered here. It is assume that appropriate controller has been provider to cater for that. 3. The uncertainty is not consider but consider the normalized output from the generator 4. The load growth of South Africa is taking as an example.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		