



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28163
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF STOCHASTIC LOAD AND HYBRID DISTRIBUTED GENERATION PENETRATION LEVEL ON TRANSIENT STABILITY OF POWER SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "Impact of stochastic load and hybrid distributed generation penetration level on transient stability of power system" however is not a novel one in the context of DG technologies, but technically seems sound and can be counted a hint for new incomes in the DG technologies domain. There are some deficiencies were observed as: 1. The manuscript is comprised with the grammatical errors and salient punctuation mistakes; 2. Inadequate use of the appropriate words and phrases for example, in the Abstract "The integration of hybrid power system ..." instate of "The deployment/exploitation of hybrid power system ..."; 3. Included graphics do not meet the journal acceptable resolution, especially the provide Matrix in Figure 1; 4. Inappropriate citation for instance [, 7-14]; 5. Overall it requires a deep consideration and corrections.	1. Grammatical error has been corrected 2. Inadequate use of words has also be corrected 3. The graph is now very clear 4. The citation is corrected 5. The proofreading has been done and the correction made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		