



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28092
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of Early Growth Traits and Predictions in Progenies of Mongrel Rabbits
Type of the Article	Full paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors did not describe an enough statistical analysis. It is necessary to do a better and clean description of that point. The authors fail in the discussion of the results. A high and intense discussion should be inserted based on data to predict equations for Body Weight, once I think that the data (animal quantity) is not enough for predict body weight.	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		