



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28025
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationships among Structural Adaptations, Strategy Implementation and Performance of Manufacturing Small and Medium Firms in Thika, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Abstract should be short, please rewrite it. - Introduction section should have the summary of the findings and how did the author(s) derive the research questions? - The hypotheses section should be after the literature review section. And, how did the author(s) develop those hypotheses of this study? - The literature review is good, but it would be better if the author(s) could identify the "gap" between the current study and the previous studies. - Again, how did the author(s) derive the research questions? The research question is a little ambiguous which is not clearly stated in either introduction or at the end of literature review. - Please discuss the methods used in this study in details. - Please provide the regression model used in this study. - The results and discussions section is good, but it could be written in a more rigid way. - The general ideas presented in this study are good, but the	Abstract has been shortened without losing variable information. See page 1. Summary of the findings included in the introduction. See the last paragraph of the introduction on pages 2 & 3 Hypothesis married together with the literature review. This shows how each and every hypothesis was arrived at. See pages 7-9. The research gap has been included and is clearly seen the section of the problem statement that has been added in this manuscript. See pages 3 & 4 Research Questions have been included in the introductory part of this manuscript. See page 4 Methods used in this study have been discussed in details. See page Regression model included. See pages 14 & 15 Results reviewed and in a more rigid way. See page 15 Results and Discussions revisited. See pages 17-28 The entire story has been revisited and



SDI Review Form 1.6

	authors have to provide a clearer story.	necessary changes made (Entire document)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		