



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_27324
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of kinetics of chemically activated carbon produced from palm kernel shell
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The author have to check the typographical errors throughout the manuscript, Example: in conclusion part line no 172. “activities carbon for sorption of metals” should be replaced with “activated carbon for sorption of metals” The paper may accepted with necessary modification	The observations of the reviewer on typographical errors are noted, and we have made effort to correct the errors. The corrections are highlighted in yellow.