



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_27255
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal of Heavy Metal Pb(II) ions from aqueous solution using Pentaclethra Macrophylla and Tetracarpidium Conophorum seed shells based activated carbons: Equilibrium, Kinetics and thermodynemics studies.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research article comprising about the detailed study for the removal of Pb(II) from aqueous solutions. The paper is recommended to accept for publication with necessary correction. The author have to correct typographical errors throughout the manuscript Example: In Abstract line no 14 : “increase with increase with increase in adsorbent dosage” May modified to “increase with increase in adsorbent dosage”	Repetition deleted