



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26707
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Application of Data Mining and GIS to seniors living alone in Taiwan Population Census
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Paper is very lengthy it should be precise. 2. References not mention in the text. 3. Figure 4 and 5 i.e. maps is not correct. He did not mention latitude/longitude and other demography information. 4. The title of paper should be precise.	1. The Discussion of results section has modified and the conclusion section has precise in page 8, 9. 2. References are mention in Figure 1 Flowchart of literature review process. In page 2. 3. Figure 4 and 5 intends to show the distribution of association rules in Taipei and Kaohsiung, It is not necessary to show the demography information latitude/longitude 4. The title of paper has changed to "A Study on the Association Rule Mining and GIS to seniors living alone in Taiwan Population Census".
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		