



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26353
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FORECASTING METHODS AS A TOOL FOR INFORMATION STRUCTURING IN SCIENCE RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- The "Abstract section" need to be coherently merged rather than segmenting it as earlier mentioned in the previous review. - The "introduction section" has to be coherently re-arranged and the objective of the study should be reflected at the tail end of this section. - Too many paragraphs under "material and methods section". These have to be coherently merged. - The methods adopted in this research are not explicitly presented. Thus, the author needs to re-arrange "material and methods section" probably with support of flowchart for better understanding by the readers. - The "Results and discussion section" need to re-arranged to capture only the outcome of the research. Also, many of the paragraphs need to be merged.	- The Abstract section was compiled according to the requirements of BJAST, as we refer our study to Research papers, not Review papers. - The team of authors has applied their own style in describing the problem and nature of its solving in the Introduction section. The objective has been presented in the first two paragraphs. In the rest of this section description of solving the problem is taking place, followed by the main conclusion from the carried research. This, in our opinion, enables readers to determine topicality of the set and achieved objective. - Thank you for the improvement. Done. - In this section we have done our best to give a detailed description of the research method and the way it was used for the solving of the given problem. The indicated method involves sensory-visual, evaluation and the like components. The authors have presented them not only in textual descriptive way, but in more explicit forms (pictures). The descriptive forms (corresponding text) are the results of the experiment. The more explicit forms structuring the information are also the experiment results (the authors' specific presentation). - This section has already been rearranged remaining with only two subsections. As for the number of paragraphs here we humbly insist on keeping them as being crucial for putting across our outcomes in a clear way.