



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26353
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FORECASTING METHODS AS A TOOL FOR INFORMATION STRUCTURING IN SCIENCE RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the introduction, the authors should provide appropriate justification for selecting these two methods to analyze.	Thank you for reviewing our paper. All points have been taken into account and corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	1. In topic 2, the subtopics did not follow the headline. 2. Subtopics 2.1 and 2.2 should merge into the methods of study and should explain in more detail. 3. Where are the experimental details? 4. Topic 3.1 should be the literature reviews. The authors give comprehensive argumentative review. Nevertheless, the authors must cite sources they used in their research. 5. Topic 3.2 should be the results section.	The paper has been reviewed in accordance with the corrections.
Optional/General comments		