



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26353
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FORECASTING METHODS AS A TOOL FOR INFORMATION STRUCTURING IN SCIENCE RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The Abstract should be in one paragraph and all the titles on the Abstract removed. Check and correct the grammer on line 20-22. Change the (om to on) on line 152. Remove the (of) on line 262.	Thank you for reviewing our paper. Corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author had "result and discussion" in section 3 where the general discussion was presented, but went down to the conclusion in section 4 to have further result.	The inserted comments to Figs., the changes in Section 3, allowed clarifying and separating the outcomes and their discussions from the conclusion. Section 3 presents the results of a logical experiment.