



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26268
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimising Capacity of Nigeria Construction Sector for Socio-Economic Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Author should support his/her findings based on other(S) findings obtained from his/her empirical reviews. 2. Author should provide area for further research	Author's findings have been supported with the previous findings from the literature. Likewise, area for further research has been suggested in the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper's coverage is good especially on the impact of construction industry which its coverage in developing countries is limited.	