



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25948
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution of Hearing Thresholds and the effect of Age
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- About quatrictomization, you should describe which classification you used - You should consider and describe possible comorbidities influencing hearing loss - At section 2.1 – I couldn't really understand why only 500Hz and left ears were evaluated - In the sentence 2.1 (line 65 p.2): "The choice is arbitrary..." what do you mean?	The classification used is normal (< 25 dB), mild (25 - 40 dB), moderate (41 - 65 dB) and severe (66 dB). There could be other choices. Comorbidities of hearing loss has been stated. The reason for the choice of 500Hz and left ear has now been motivated. The choice of left ear is arbitrary.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- You should name authors and publication date during the text, instead of just numbering. - Figure 1 is very complex and difficult to understand, so many data.	Authors have duly been cited appropriately. Figure 1 is so because the data at hand is longitudinal and each subject response is bound to vary at different time-points of measurements.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The statistical model was very well designed	Yes I do agree