



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25704
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTS OF WEIGHT CHANGES ON SHIP'S STABILITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Quality of Fig. 1 is not good. It must appear clear to represent considered problem. 2. Almost all equations are written in Italics font were as text are not. Please check the proposed paper format. 3. Equation numbers are kept in brackets (), which are not there. 4. Headings at line numbers 111, 116, 125 and 159 must be written as sub-heading under 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' heading. Please check paper format for the same also. 5. Computer model need to be more explanation for better understanding. 6. Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are completely disturbed from their positions. Also, x-axis and y-axis coordinates and details are not clear. Authors suggested few equations from their study which must be scientific; valid and appropriate; how rigorous authors can be interpreting their work.	Fig. 1, represented Changes effected Equations are put in brackets Corrections made Explanation done There was a problem somewhere, fig. 4 and fig. 5 are in their proper place in my work, however, it was noted and look into.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Please write references properly and complete in all respect. 2. Spacing between some words (at few places) are not there in whole manuscript. Please check complete manuscript. 3. If possible provide list of abbreviations used before the reference list.	Effected, please confirm This again was not the case with my manuscript. However, it was checked for possible errors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	However, the manuscript in its current status is not as convincing as a high standard journal paper. Major revisions are needed before it can be considered for publication in this journal. Please improve the written content.	