



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25679
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF RUMKALE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REGION FOR ECOTOURISM POTENTIAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paragraph (Line 225→ 227) should be reviewed, sentence not very clear. More highlights are made in the text as 'comments' → The article requires substantial English Check before publishing: in terms of verbs and paragraphs formulation. Please see the attached file	Thank you for valuable comments and suggestions We are grateful for reviewer for suggestions and correction of manuscript. Line 225-227 revised for clear understanding of sentences. The manuscript carefully edited for improving English language.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article shows a case study about a subject of great interest for territory governance. The article is structured correctly and goals and conclusions appear clearly expressed.	Thank you for valuable comments and suggestions