



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25679
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF RUMKALE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REGION FOR ECOTOURISM POTENTIAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. I strongly suggest the title should be reviewed to read: <i>"An Evaluation of Ecotourism Potentials of Rumkale Archaeological Region"</i> 2. The abstract has been revised by the reviewer and the author should consider this below: ABSTRACT In this study, the Rumkale Archaeological Region and its vicinity located in Gaziantep City, Turkey, were examined in terms of potential value for ecotourism activities. The region has high historical reputation and natural beauty which avail magnificent structures for visitors. In examining the ecotourism potential of Rumkale Archaeological Region, a standard questionnaire form was designed and administered to respondents who came on visit to this region. The study revealed that majority of respondents (70%) have heard of Rumkale's reputation from friends. It also came to light that visitors mostly tripped to Rumkale Archaeological Region in order to access the natural beauty and archaeological features. With regard to activity of interest undertaken by visitors to the area, their preferences centred on doing nature walks, trekking and seeing archaeological residues including	1. Thanks to reviewer, the title of manuscript changed as suggested <i>"An Evaluation of Ecotourism Potentials of Rumkale Archaeological Region"</i> 2. <i>The abstract has been replaced as reviewer suggested in form.</i> The manuscript carefully edited for English language. Thank you for valuable comments and suggestions



SDI Review Form 1.6

	historic structures. It also came to the fore that lack of accommodation and difficulties with transportation to the region have negatively affected development of ecotourism activities in this area. Rumkale and its vicinity was scored by visitors according to its suitability for ecotourism activities and in this regard it was revealed that 85% of the respondents ranked this region as suitable for nature walks (trekking), followed by field photography (73%) and trips to historical and archaeological sites (70%) in that order of importance. After the detailed examination of Rumkale Region and analyzing information from visitors, it is therefore reasonably to conclude and suggest that the Rumkale Archaeological Region and its environs have high potentials for ecotourism and if careful planning is done, these potentials can be tapped for socio-economic development of the area. There is the need for proof reading. The English therein is very difficult to comprehend.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		