



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25600
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of adhesive application on the bond strength between feldspathic ceramic and resin cements
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is written well. 1. Grammatical errors in the manuscript should be corrected. 2. Spell check should be done; for example "Carbite " abrasives, etc., 3. Author discussed about the adhesive & cohesive failures in the study. However, no SEM image was included in the manuscript to support these failures.	1 and 2. The manuscript was edited by American Journal Experts. The certificate was attached to the e-mail. 3. We agree with the reviewer that SEM images of the failures would improve the manuscript. However, the fractured surfaces of the specimens were visually examined with a stereomicroscope at x20 to classify the type of failure. We did not observed the failures under SEM.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors are suggested to modify the conclusion.	We think the conclusions are adequate to the objectives and results of the study. However, we can modify the conclusion if the reviewer gives a suggestion.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		