



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25600
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of adhesive application on the bond strength between feldspathic ceramic and resin cements
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The materials and methods section should allow the test reproducibility. It presents several points that deserve more detail: . light-curing – what distance? . Was the bottom surface of the cone polished? It was flat? Compression with matrix was performed? . the tensile bond strength followed any ISO protocol? How the cone was fixed to the machine?	- The distance of the light-curing was added in the text (page 3, line 73). - Information about the cone of composite resin was added in the text (page 3, line 79). - The tensile bond strength did not follow ISO protocol . However, our research group has already developed many researches with the methodology of tensile bond strength used in the present study, and these researches are published in important journals such as American Journal of Dentistry. - The information about how the cone was fixed to the universal testing machine was added in the text (page 4, line 94)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		