



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24821
Title of the Manuscript:	Instrumental Approach to the Determination of Ozone Phytotoxicity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	These have been indicated as track changes in the manuscript.	All the required improvements and/or justifications suggested by the reviewer as track of changes in the manuscript are considered and amendments are highlighted in yellow colour in the revised manuscript along with the comments by reviewer 1.
Minor REVISION comments	Generally there are a number of typos throughout the manuscript which must be corrected. One gets the impression that the author(s) did not proof read the manuscript before submitting it.	The manuscript is completely revised to correct the typo errors in accordance with track of changes in the manuscript suggested by the reviewer.
Optional/General comments		We would like to express our appreciation and thanks to the reviewer for the valuable comments that are helpful to improve the quality of our submission.