



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24821
Title of the Manuscript:	Instrumental Approach to the Determination of Ozone Phytotoxicity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) Line 8: add space between "as foliar". (2) Line 8: "served as" instead of "servedas" (3) Line 10: "focused" instead of "focussed" (4) Line 40: add "which" before "leading". (5) Line 41 & 42: hard to understand, express in detail. (6) Line 46: "and when" instead of "andwhen". (7) Line 48: delete "already". deleted (8) Line 49: "injury are" instead of "injuryare". (9) Line 50: "results" instead of "result". (10) Line 55: "lead to" instead of "leadto". (11) Line 85: add space after "250". (12) Line 85: add space between "." And "ozone". (13) Line 88: add space between "Ozone" and "exposed". (14) Figure 1: (a) adjust the resolution please. (b): what is the unit of figure 1?	Space added... line 7 in the revised manuscript Corrected as "served as" instead of "servedas" ..line 7 Corrected line 10 "which" added before "leading"..line 39 Improved as "decrease in photosynthetic rate and crop yield" instead of "inhibition of photosynthesis and a consequent decrease in plant growth, biomass accumulation and crop yield, accelerated senescence of older foliage, and loss of vigour" to add clarity. The corrections are highlighted in yellow color in the revised manuscript. Corrected ... line 43 "already" deleted....line 46 Required space added.....line 47 Corrected as "results"..... line 47 Required space added, "leads" instead of "lead" (as pointed by reviewer 2).....line 52 Space added...line 86 Space added.....line 86 Required space added... line 89 Figure 1 resolution improved mg/g dwt to mean milligram per gram of dry weight was defined in Figure caption just below Figure 1.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	(15) What is the y-axis of figure 2? (16) What is the unit of y-axis in figure 2? (17) Please express the information in detail in the conclusion part. In summary: It is a good paper, the point of view is supported by powerful research results and the logic is clear, language is excellent. Only some tiny places needs attention, meanwhile, please express the conclusion in detail, so it will be convincing and powerful.	Unit of y-axis "g" is indicated in Figure 2 Information in conclusion part enriched
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		