



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24815
Title of the Manuscript:	BASC AND TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION ON POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The minor typographical errors Some suggestions are highlighted in blue ink in the original article in word document.	Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I believe the author will do well in giving some recommendations to the readers. He started his article by citing Nigeria. I am of the opinion that he can address some particular area of geographical location	corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		