



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24815
Title of the Manuscript:	BASC AND TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION ON POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is not an Original Research Paper but a vade mecum how to construct the ponds for fish production. In my opinion it is a good introduction to a real research paper. The authors should give at least one good example how the real pond was or should be constructed in dependence on different factors.</p> <p>Please select some fish important for Nigeria production and some locality and provide a real example showing the technical, environmental and economic aspects of the pond construction for the selected fish species.</p>	<p>Corrected: See pages (314 – 407)</p> <p>detto</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		