



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24682
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXPERIMENTATION INVESTIGATION OF SOLAR ENERGY UTILIZATION FOR DRY AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS.
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Use of English. Some examples are, Line 10 - The parabolic was tilted at ... with the aid of four supports at two differ. Line 10 - as the cover plate materials Line 26 - with sunshine for than 95 % period of Line 51 - For fruit and vegetable produce the 52 simple process may entail a loss of 2. Introduction needs to be shortened as most things are well known. 3. Table 1 should be replaced by figure for a clear picture 4. Actual solar dryer figure should be inserted along with sketch. Sketch should not be screen copy. 5. A comparison with other solar dryer needs to be included for publication. 6. When citing several references at a point, there should be a reason to point out the difference. Otherwise, only one reference is enough. 7. Experimental set up should be more precisely and clearly explained so that the result could be replicated.	Noted and corrected Solar chamber tilted not parabolic Solar cover with PVC Yes; NOTED AND CORRECTED MORE THAN Yes; Noted and corrected NOTED AND CORRECTED No. table is ideal because it is pre-results. Yes; NOTED AND CORRECTED DITTO DITTO DITTO Noted and corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Several references are not appropriate and should be removed.	Yes; NOTED AND CORRECTED
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Its relevance should be highlighted by comparing it with similar works. It is very important. Economics of the process will increase its acceptability.	NOTED AND CORRECTED