



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24540
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative phytochemical studies on <i>Zygophyllum coccineum</i> L. from different habitats, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-In the abstract you read that the leaves of the plant contain high level of some ions. In the results only you find the ones of the soil. Some results are missing. 2- According to the results, which is the best source for the plant material for medical use? It seems to be from desert. The results are very interesting and could be used for define the best source for the use of the plan in medicine	Table 1 is deleted from the paper. Also, the information on the table is removed from the paper. We wrote in the paper that the desert plant is the best source for the plant material for medical use.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		