



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24383
Title of the Manuscript:	Business Intelligence Readiness Issues for Higher Learning Institution (IHL): Preliminary Study and Research Model
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Research methodology should be explained clearly, explain type of qualitative techniques used for the study. 2. The result are presented well in the study but the paper lacks discussion section. The findings of the study should be discussed with relevant findings of previous literature. The conclusion section lacks the proper discussion and findings from the study. 3. Rework on conclusion with more details. 4. Managerial implication is required.	Qualitative methods permit the evaluator to study selected issues in depth and detail. The field study acquires the researcher to be involved in investigating the factors influencing readiness of BIS by interviewing the business intelligence expert in the organization. The inquiry process is sub-split into 5 sequential steps at the first phase and 6 until 11 for the second phase of the research process using quantitative methods as indicated in Fig. 2 Done Done
Optional/General comments		