



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24285
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Possible Impacts of Climate Change on the Tourism Potentials of Ebomi Lake, Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is useful for the study of Nigeria This paper is worth publication, but minor problems in this paper need to be solved before publication. Introduction indicates the purpose, aims and the objectives of the study. The location of study area be added Conclusions should be more personal and reflect better this study. Also, it should reflect future measures to increase the interest in promoting tourism activities and business by the citizens of this province. The discussion is good but the contributions should be clearly stated and more detailed in the conclusion section. Author (s) should be more explicit about how the results of this study influence promoting tourism in this Nigeria area. Not all the references are typed in consistent with those formats requested by the British Journal of Applied Science & Technology , it is suggested that the author(s) check the references carefully and make the needed corrections to fit the journal criteria. Amosu et al., (2011); Esu, (2012); Oguntunde et al., (2012); Ikhile, (2007), doesn't exist in references.	We have stated the geographical location of the site in the manuscript in latitude and longitude This has been added to as well The conclusion has been improved upon See the conclusion The references have been re-typed to conform to journal style and missing references in text have been updated



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Amosu et al., (2012); Esu, (2013); Ihkile, (2007), Oguntunde et al., (2011) it is missing in the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		