SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_24193**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF GENDER ON NATIONAL POVERTY ERADICATION PROGRAMME (NAPEP) CAPACITY ACQUISITION SCHEME (CAS) GRADUATES ON THEIR ABILITY TO ACQUIRE TAILORING SKILLS FOR ENTREPRENEURSHIP**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Need for syntax review of the entire work with a view to resenting a more clear picture of the work and as well deal with text contradictions Note, for example, lines 17-20. 2. Review references for APA compliance, especially the 6th edition. **3.** Review the use of upper and lower case letters on titles of tables.	Thoroughly gone through the work and observed errors have been corrected. There were inconsistency in the APA format.
Minor REVISION comments	Beef up the abstract	This has been done.
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20