



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_24007
Title of the Manuscript:	ISAC'S CONES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The title of the journal is "British Journal of Applied Science & Technology"; I don't see any application of Isac's cones.	As we specified in the Abstract, Isac's cones represent the largest class of convex cones discovered till now in separated locally convex spaces ensuring the existence and important properties for the efficient points under completeness instead of compactness, having strong implications and applications in the Efficiency and Optimization. We selected British Journal of Applied Science & Technology for publication since it is an impressive scientific international journal....
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		