



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23996
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECT OF PENSTOCK CONFIGURATION ON THE PERFORMANCE OF A SIMPLIFIED PICO-HYDRO SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript should be read by native English speaker of lecturer in order to improve grammar.	THE MANUSCRIPT HAVE BEEN READ BY A BETTER ENGLISH SPEAKER WITH ALMOST EVERY PARAGRAPH BEING UPDATED AS NECESSARY
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 90: delete "and". Existing statement is not true because it depends from case to case. Figure 6 and 7; please, can you enclose values of R^2 (coefficient of determination)?	THE GENERAL CORRECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT TOOK CARE OF THIS COMMENT. R^2 VALUES HAVE BEEN ADDED TO FIGURES 5 AND 6. THERE IS NO FIGURE 7 IN THE MANUSCRIPT
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	