



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23935
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INTERFACE BETWEEN HYDROPOWER GENERATION AND OTHER WATER USES IN THE PIABANHA RIVER BASIN, BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Figure 1 must be improved. The writing inside the map and the legend must be clear. The all name must be in English. The map must be redrawn. There are a number of works cited in the bibliography but not cited in the text. The bibliography isn't uniform. It must be emphasized the importance for society. What type of climate in that area and how it influences?	The map was improved and translated. The biography was reviewed, translated and improved. I also check the topics cited in the text. A new and final paragraph was created for make clear the importance of this study for the society. The clime is high-altitude tropical but for this work purpose, we take the flows historical data and the influence of the clime is just the precipitation that became the flow. The evaporation of the SHP reservoirs were calculated by Noronha (2010) as presented in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The structure of paper are clear. The subject of paper is novelty, and is interesting for Brazilia. The author apply a method known in international literature. The figure 2 is very suggestive. The methodology is very clear.	