



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23837
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Corrosion Behaviour of Welded Austenitic Stainless Steel Immersed in Aqueous Alkaline Medium
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors has used the terminology Austenitic stainless steel, However, please note that all 300 series steels are austenitic steels. Please indicate the exact specification. 2. Line 66, it was mentioned as butt wedding, it should be butt welding. 3. What type of welding was done. Please indicate. 4. What is the standard using for tensile specimen. 5. For 3 factors, three levels, as per full factorial 27 experiments need to perform. However authors had performed only 6 experiments. Explain?	1. The exact specification is type 304 austenitic stainless steel 2. Noted. It will be corrected from the manuscript. Its was butt welding 3. Noted 4. Noted 5. Its 2 factors at 2 levels not 3 factors, 3 levels
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The English language is very poor. Please check. 2. Authors are advised to align the chapters in a proper fashion.	Noted.