



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23803
Title of the Manuscript:	Design analysis and Fabrication of a Tensile Creep Testing Machine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is no figure of Tensile Creep Testing Machine presented in paper even the title is "Design analysis and Fabrication of a Tensile Creep Testing Machine" The contents are not related to the title.	Find figures 5 and 6 for your perusal. The machine was fabricated and experiment was conducted as depicted in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		