



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJAST_23803</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design analysis and Fabrication of a Tensile Creep Testing Machine</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A photograph of the manufactured tensile creep testing machine needs to be presented with the legends.</li> <li>2. In Abstract, several numerical values should be deleted.</li> <li>3. At the end of Introduction, the authors should clearly mention about the main different points between the proposed machine and commercially available machine. This is a kind of technical contribution of this work.</li> <li>4. The authors asserted in Conclusion that the proposed machine is cost effective. This statement needs to be more specified. Please clarify the ethical issues if any.</li> </ol>	All the corrections as pointed out by the reviewer have been effected. See the manuscript for your perusal and kind consideration. There are no ethical issues.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	None	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	None	